
**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8**

I hereby certify that this correspondence, totaling Six (6) pages including recited attachments, is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at facsimile no.: 571-273-2855 on the below date:

Date: September 14, 2006 Name: Daanna J. Harter

Signature: *Daanna J. Harter*

**BRINKS  
HOFER  
GILSON  
& LIONE**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Appln. of: Hidekazu Sato et al.

Appln. No.: 10/069,831

Filed: February 26, 2002

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR  
SETTING COMMUNICATION  
PARAMETER IN INFORMATION  
TERMINAL

Examiner: Kyung H. Shin

Art Unit: 2143

Conf. No. 5382

Attorney Docket No: 9683/104

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TRANSMITTAL**
**Attached is/are:**

☒ Part B – Fee(s) Transmittal (in duplicate – 2 pgs.); Request for Review of Patent Term Adjustment (2 pgs.);

**Fee calculation:**

- ☐ No additional fee is required.
- ☐ Small Entity.
- ☐ An extension fee in an amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ for a \_\_\_\_\_-month extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).
- ☒ A petition or processing fee in an amount of **\$1730.00** under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(a), 1.18(d), and 1.19(a)(1).
- ☐ An additional filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

				Small Entity		or		Not a Small Entity	
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Add'l Fee		Rate	Add'l Fee
Total		Minus			x \$25=			x \$50=	
Indep.		Minus			x 100=			x \$200=	
First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim					+\$180=			+\$360=	
					Total	\$		Total	\$

**Fee payment:**

- ☐ A check in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is enclosed.
- ☒ Please charge Deposit Account No. 23-1925 in the amount of **\$1730.00**. A copy of this Transmittal is enclosed for this purpose.
- ☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR § 1.16 and any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR § 1.17 associated with this paper (including any extension fee required to ensure that this paper is timely filed), or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 23-1925. A copy of this Transmittal is enclosed for this purpose.

SEPT 14, 2006  
Date


Respectfully submitted,

*Sanders N. Hillis*  
Sanders N. Hillis (Reg. No. 45,712)

**BRINKS  
HOFER  
GILSON**

**BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE**

NBC Tower – Suite 3600, 455 N. Cityfront Plaza Drive, Chicago, IL 60611-5599



Certificate Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Central Facsimile Number, 571-273-2885, on September 14, 2006.

Deanna L. Hasler  
Deanna L. Hasler

PATENT

Our Case No. 9683/104

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of	)	
Hidekazu Sato et al.	)	Group Art Unit: 2143
Serial No.: 10/069,831	)	Examiner: Kyung H. Shin
Filed: February 26, 2002	)	Conf. No. 5382
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR	)	
SETTING COMMUNICATION	)	
PARAMETER IN INFORMATION	)	
TERMINAL	)	

**REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT**

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

It has recently come to our attention that there may be an error in the patent term adjustment calculated by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office for the above-referenced patent application. We believe that the patent term adjustment pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 1.54(b) of 741 days indicated on the Determination of Patent Term Adjustment, PTOL-85, may extend the term of the patent by too many days.

Specifically, a non-final rejection was mailed on May 6, 2005. A Request for Reconsideration of a previously-filed Information Disclosure Statement was received by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on May 23, 2005, and an Information Disclosure Statement was


Serial No. 10/069,831  
Request for Review of Patent Term Adjustment

Filed: February 26, 2002

received by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on September 2, 2005. Finally, a Response to the non-final Office Action was received by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on October 10, 2005. Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.704(b)(8), we believe some of these documents received by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may be considered as a Supplemental Reply or other paper.

Please review the calculation of the patent term adjustment and make corrections, if necessary.

Respectfully submitted,



Sanders N. Hillis  
Attorney Reg. No. 45,712  
Attorney for Applicant

SNH/dlh

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE  
CUSTOMER NO. 00757  
Telephone: 317-636-0886  
Facsimile: 317-634-6701